

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/198,022 11/23/1998		11/23/1998	GEOFFREY B. RHOADS	4830-51475/W	1992	
23735	7590	06/28/2002				
DIGIMARC			EXAMINER			
19801 SW 72ND AVENUE SUITE 100 TUALATIN, OR 97062				JOHNS, AN	JOHNS, ANDREW W	
				ARTIBUT	PAPER NUMBER	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2621		
				DATE MAILED: 06/28/2002	25	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/198,022	RHOADS					
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Andrew W. Johns	2621					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 14 June 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below):					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	•	,					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	inally rejected claim	s.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	on(s): See Continuation Sheet.						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>22-24,33-35 and 39-51</u> would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.							
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: <i>None</i> .							
Claim(s) objected to: <u>22-24</u> .							
Claim(s) rejected: 1-21.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>25-32</u> .							
8. $\hfill \square$ The proposed drawing correction filed on is	☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  10. Other:  ANDREW W. JOHNS							
PRIMARY EXAMINER							

**Advisory Action** 





Continuation of 2. NOTE: Claims 36 and 37 are "product-by-process" claims which do not require the steps or operations of the parent method claim (see MPEP 2113), but are broadly limited only to the structure of the product produced by the method. No such "product-by process" claims have previously been considered, so that these claims would require further search and/or consideration.

Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Terminal disclaimer overcomes obviousness-type double rejection of claims 18-21.

The following papers have not been made part of the permanent records of the United States Patent and Trademark Office (Office) for this application (37 C.F.R. § 1.52(a)) because of damage from the United States Postal Service irradiation process:

5	Mailroom Stamp Date	Certificate of Mailing Date
	14 June 2002	06 June 2∞2
10		
		<u> </u>

15

20

25

30

35

40

The above-identified papers, however, were not so damaged as to preclude the USPTO from making a legible copy of such papers. Therefore, the Office has made a copy of these papers, substituted them for the originals in the file, and stamped that copy:

COPY OF PAPERS ORIGINALLY FILED

If applicant wants to review the accuracy of the Office's copy of such papers, applicant may either inspect the application (37 C.F.R. § 1.14(d)) or may request a copy of the Office's records of such papers (i.e., a copy of the copy made by the Office) from the Office of Public Records for the fee specified in 37 C.F.R. § 1.19(b)(4). Please do **not** call the Technology Center's Customer Service Center to inquiry about the completeness or accuracy of Office's copy of the above-identified papers, as the Technology Center's Customer Service Center will **not** be able to provide this service.

If applicant does not consider the Office's copy of such papers to be accurate, applicant must provide a copy of the above-identified papers (except for any U.S. or foreign patent documents submitted with the above-identified papers) with a statement that such copy is a complete and accurate copy of the originally submitted documents. If applicant provides such a copy of the above-identified papers and statement within **THREE MONTHS** of the mail date of this Office action, the Office will add the original mailroom date and use the copy provided by applicant as the permanent Office record of the above-identified papers in place of the copy made by the Office. Otherwise, the Office's copy will be used as the permanent Office record of the above-identified papers (*i.e.*, the Office will use the copy of the above-identified papers made by the Office for examination and all other purposes). This three-month period is not extendable.